IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.77 OF 2005

Nimbaji Zipru Sonawane ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra

and others ... Respondents

...

Mr. Shriram S. Kulkarni, for the Petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gadkari, A.P.P., for the State.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

8th February 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the Petitioner. I see no reason to interfere with the discretionary order passed by the Court below. The issue as to whether the original specimen signature ought to have been forwarded to handwriting expert has been raised only after recording of statement under section 313, Cr.P.C. Taking overall view of the matter and essentially the order being

interlocutory order and discretion exercised by the trial Court, no interference in writ jurisdiction is warranted. Dismissed. All contentions available to the Petitioner are left open to be decided at the appropriate stage of the trial.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)